

Email Message

*Bourbon Co.  
Title IX*

Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 08:12:55 -0500  
From: LBOUCHER (Larry Boucher)  
To: "Johnston, Kathy \ (Bourbon County\)" <Kathy.Johnston@bourbon.kyschools.us>  
Reply-to: lboucher@khsaa.org  
Subject: bcc: re: title IX ?

Thanks

On Friday, January 13, 2006 1:50 PM, Johnston, Kathy (Bourbon County) wrote:

>  
>Thanks -- you stated it so well -- it was as if Danny Reeves was speaking. I know he had said the same statements many times but you gave me the right words. thanks ----- on another note -- when is the tennis advisory meeting? I didn't see it in the KHSAA calendar??

>  
>  
-----  
>

>From: Larry Boucher [mailto:lboucher@khsaa.org]  
>Sent: Fri 1/13/2006 1:44 PM  
>To: Johnston, Kathy (Bourbon County)  
>Subject: re: title IX ?

>  
>  
>Title IX does not refer to girls it refers to "the underrepresented sex being discriminated against".....it just so happens that has been girls over the lifetime of Title IX, but could conceivably represent boys, I guess.  
>Given the 35 years since Title IX has been a law, and the obvious remaining deficiencies over that time that still have remained in Gender Equity, I doubt that this would be an issue or possible suit.....although there is a lawyer on every corner.  
>Yes, admittedly, the pendulum has swung toward the girls and away from boys benefits over the last seven years because of the KHSAA's direct involvement in the issue. While the pendulum has now swung back toward the middle of the pendulum for some schools, very probably (as is the case in most schools) there has been or remains some gender equity issues that still favor the boys. In my opinion, we have not found in seven years a case that could be made for reverse gender equity concerns.  
>All that said, to give a more definitive answer, one would probably have to look at the school's entire Gender Equity environment, which we can do if necessary.

>  
>On Friday, January 13, 2006 12:54 PM, Johnston, Kathy (Bourbon County) wrote:

>  
>  
>  
> Hi -- Could it be a Title IX violation if a softball field had lights and the baseball field did not? For possible suit??

>  
>